

served because it does cut across so many sections of laws and ideas to go ahead and refer it to committee and have a routine public hearing and not make the same mistake we made last time. So I would even throw out a unanimous consent to refer it to committee.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Is there objection? Objection has been made. Senator DeCamp. The Chair reads the rule and Rule 6 says if any member requests a bill to be referred to a committee, it will be done. Do you so request?

SENATOR DeCAMP: For the benefit of everybody, I would do that, just because I think it is fairer to Peter and everybody.

PRESIDENT: Senator Wesely, do you want a chance to say another remark? Senator Hoagland.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Mr. President, let me say at the outset that the decision to send this to the floor without a public hearing was made by the Executive Board during its routine referencing decision. Now these provisions are truly obsolete. It is constitutional to pass legislation in this fashion and I have the constitutional provision and the cases here before me if anyone is interested in my arguing this at length. We have not had any prior difficulties with this bill in previous years with one exception. Senator Pirsch is right that we called this back from the Governor about three years ago because there was a drafting error, because the citation in the cumulative sup was incorrect and repealed something that had been taken out by the committee. Now aside from that there have been no problems with this bill. We have never repealed something that we have later had to reenact contrary to Senator DeCamp's inference. He may be thinking about another bill or another set of bills but it has never been one of these obsolete statute bills that we have had that problem. We have in the original bill on occasion included some sections that we decided to take out at the public hearing. I think the most interesting of those was township band provision. You know in Nebraska law right now on a township basis property taxes can be assessed to support a band. So the first bill I introduced we took that out and we heard from some musicians around the state that didn't want us to do it so that was deleted at the public hearing. But we have never had a situation where we have had to reenact something that we repealed. Now the single subject provision of the Constitution indicates that there has to be a strand of commonality and I have the cases here that say that as long as all the statutes are obsolete, why